

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/087,726

Applicant(s)

KINOSHITA ET AL.

Examiner

Brenda A Lamb

Art Unit

1734

All Participants:(1) Brenda A Lamb.(2) Attorney Teng.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2004**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Attorney Teng agreed to changes in claims 8, 9 and 11 proposed by Examiner Lamb in order to obviate 112 rejections and place the application. Attorney Teng agreed to the cancellation of non-elect claims.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)